1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 5 6 SUZANNE CAREY, 7 Plaintiff, Case No. C05-5720FDB 8 v. ORDER DENYING SECOND 9 EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY MOTION FOR RECUSAL COMMISSION. 10 Defendant. 11 This cause of action, as well as the appeal herein, has been dismissed. [Dkt. #32 & #59] 12 Plaintiff has been directed to file no further documents in this closed case. [Dkt. # 40 & 62] 13 Nevertheless, Plaintiff continues to file documents in this cause of action. NOW, THEREFORE, 14 IT IS ORDERED: 15 Plaintiff's Motion for More Definite Statement [Dkt. # 61] appears to be directed to 1. 16 the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, but to the extent that it is directed to this Court 17 and appears on our motion calendar it is found to be improper and is DENIED. 18 2. Plaintiff's Second Motion for Recusal [Dkt. # 63] also is improper and is DENIED. 19 SO ORDERED. 20 DATED this 29th day of March, 2007. 21 22 23 FRANKLIN D. BURGESS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 24 25

26 ORDER - 1